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ABSTRACT

Endometriosis is a benign disease that can rarely become malignant. In this case report we present the case of a 50-year-old woman with clear cell carcinoma arising from an endometriotic nodule of the rectovaginal septum and discuss about the clinic, difficulty for diagnosis, evolution and treatment of the disease. The published data on this strange location of clear cell carcinoma, its etiopathogenesis and the risk factors for the malignancy of an endometriotic nodule are analysed. We conclude despite its low incidence, the malignancy of RVS nodule of extra-ovarian endometriosis is possible and should be considered when a cystic or solid-cystic lesion appears in the pelvis in a woman with a long history of endometriosis.
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Introduction

Endometriosis is defined as the presence of endometrial tissue, glands and stroma, outside the uterine cavity. It is a disease that affects 2-10% of the general population, 50% of patients who consult for infertility and up to 60% of patients with chronic pelvic pain (Giudice and Kao, 2004).

Endometriosis has been associated with a slight increase in non-gynaecological cancers (Saavalainen et al., 2018a) and some gynaecological cancers, especially endometrioid and clear cell carcinoma of the ovary (Saavalainen et al., 2018b). Malignancy of endometriotic cysts and / or implants has also been described (Gadducci and Zannoni, 2020).

The incidence of malignancy is around 1% of patients with endometriosis and the most frequent location is in the ovaries, 75-80% of cases, while in the other 20-25% the location is extraovarian, thus being a rare finding (Gadducci and Zannoni, 2020). The most frequent histological types are endometrioid carcinomas, sarcoma and clear cell carcinoma (Mandai et
al., 2009). Due to the low incidence of extra-ovarian cases and the limited literature on the matter, there are no clear criteria on their management.

The objective of this publication is to present a case of extraovarian endometriosis malignancy, in a clear cell carcinoma, in a woman with known endometriosis, in the rectovaginal septum (RVS).

**Case Description**

This is a 50-year-old woman, who is referred to the Gynaecological Oncology Unit, from General Gynaecology, for presenting a clear cell carcinoma on an endometriosis implant in Douglas.

MH: medical history of the patient: Nuligesta, diagnosed with an endometriotic nodule of the RVS of 5x4 cm in 1999, on treatment with oral contraceptives in a cyclical pattern since then, who went to the emergency room in September 2019, due to abdominal pain, along with nausea and vomiting. Since 1999, the year in which RVS endometriosis was diagnosed, no gynaecological controls have been performed. In the exploration performed in the Emergency Department, a 5 cm posterior vaginal cul-de-sac outgrowth is visualized, of a hard, fixed consistency, and a non-painful solid tumour is palpated on the posterior wall of the vagina in the rectovaginal septum of about 5x4 cm. In the transvaginal ultrasound a rounded formation measuring 70x54 mm with an isoechoic appearance was observed at the retrouterine level with some areas of greater echo density. No other findings of interest were seen.

Ibuprofen treatment was prescribed and he was referred to the general gynaecology consultation. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was performed with these findings.:

Uterus showing an intramural myoma on the right edge measuring 2.5 x 2.2 x 2.3 cm and another pedunculated on the left edge measuring 5.7 x 3 x 3.5 cm. At the retrouterine level and occupying the cul-de-sac of Douglas, a mass closely related to the posterior wall of the uterine isthmus with well-defined edges and a heterogeneous signal measuring 3.8 x 5 x 5 cm is identified. The tumour is suggestive of pedunculated myoma with cystic-haemorrhagic degeneration. Rest of structures included in the study without valuable pathological findings.

Given these findings, discontinuing contraceptive treatment and observation with new monitoring in 6 months was recommended. Six months later the patient was in amenorrhea and an ultrasound was repeated, showing in Douglas a solid-cystic image of 7.5 x 6 cm, with dense content and a solid component with low resistance central vascularization. On suspicion of malignancy a computerized axial tomography (CT) was requested with similar findings to those of the MRI (Fig. 1).
**Figure 1:** Pelvic CT. Highlighted image on the anterior wall of the rectosigma corresponding to the clear cell tumour

Tumoral markers were the following: Ca 125: 4.5 U/mL, Ca 19.9: 23 U/mL, He4: 23 pmol/L. ROMA index: low risk (1.2%).

With the suspected diagnosis of pedunculated myoma with degeneration, a surgical intervention was decided to perform a hysterectomy and double adnexectomy by a general gynaecology team.

*Surgery on 09/17/2020*

**Findings:** Uterus of normal size, with intramural myoma on the right uterine edge of 2 cm and pedunculated myoma on the left edge of 3 cm. A soft mass of about 5 cm is palpated, but not seen, which is covered by rectosigma and is firmly attached to the uterine isthmus.

**Surgery:** Pfannenstiel incision. During the detachment of the rectum the spontaneous opening of the mass occurs, leaving cerebroid content to the peritoneal cavity. This tissue was analysed by frozen section and informed as suspicion of malignancy without being able to define histological origin. Subsequently, a hysterectomy and double adnexectomy were performed.

Pathological findings were the following:

a) Sent as "mass content in Douglas" (intraoperative biopsy): carcinoma morphologically and immunophenotypically compatible with clear cell carcinoma.

b) Uterus, Fallopian tubes and ovaries: focus of carcinoma morphologically and immunophenotypically compatible with clear cell carcinoma: size: 0.8 x 0.3 cm, location on the surface of the posterior side of the cervix, in contact with surgical margin, penetrating 0.3 cm into the posterior cervical wall. Immunohistochemical study: pax8 +, napsin a +, wt1-, non-aberrant expression of p53.

With these results the patient is presented to the Tumour Committee in which it is decided to stage the disease, for which a new surgical intervention is scheduled to be performed on October 23, 2020:

**DOI:** http://dx.doi.org/10.47746/FMCR.2021.2106

https://www.jmedicalcasereports.org/
Findings were the following: Peritoneal Cancer Index (PCI): 0

Surgical procedure performed: Intraoperative biopsies of the anterior wall of the rectosigma and pelvic and para-aortic lymphadenectomy, and radical omentectomy.

Pathological findings were the following:

Intraoperative biopsies performed in the anterior wall of the rectum and RVS were all negative for malignant cells. Lymph nodes from lymphadenectomies of the right pelvis and para-aortic region were also negative. In the left pelvic lymphadenectomy, nine lymph nodes were identified, two of them with infiltration by carcinoma (2+/9).

With these results, the diagnosis of clear cell carcinoma on an endometriosis focus in the rectovaginal septum stage IIIA1 was established and the Tumour Committee decided to refer to Medical Oncology for adjuvant chemotherapy treatment. The patient is currently receiving carboplatin + paclitaxel.

Discussion

Malignant transformation of endometriosis is estimated to occur in around 0.7-1.6% of patients with the disease (Tarumi et al., 2015). There are some criteria defined by Sampson and Scott (Sampson, 1925; Scott, 1953) to consider the endometriosis nodule to be malignant: (i) Finding of benign and malignant endometrial tissue in the tumour; (ii) Histology of the neoplasm compatible with an endometrial origin; (iii) Failure to identify another primary tumour; (iv) Demonstration of a dysplastic phase between benign endometriosis and carcinoma. In our case, the first three criteria were met. Regarding the fourth criterion for demonstration of dysplasia, the transition zone between benign and malignant endometrium is only detected in 36-42% of cases (Mostoufizadeh and Scully, 1980). In our patient, this dysplastic phenomenon could not be demonstrated in the pathological examination of the surgical piece.

As in the development and persistence of endometriosis, hormonal factors seem to play an important role in the process of malignancy (Reimnitz et al., 1988). In the series by Modessit, et al. (2002), of the 21 patients with carcinomas associated with endometriosis of extraovarian location, 14 patients (62%) received replacement therapy with oestrogens. Our patient had a long evolution of endometriosis, of at least 20 years and had been on treatment with combined oral contraceptives.

Current theories about the origin of endometriosis include genetic and epigenetic factors (Koninckx et al., 2019). Among the environmental factors capable of inducing genetic modifications, one of the most recognized is dioxins (Koninckx et al., 1994). Since, in the process of some textile productions, such as during the manufacture of vaginal tampons, dioxins are
released that can contaminate the product, it has been suggested that the habitual use of tampons that rest on the posterior vaginal fornix, close to the rectovaginal septum, could be a factor that favours the malignancy of an endometriotic nodule at this level (Modesitt et al., 2002). Our case is a clear cell carcinoma developed over an endometriosis focus of the rectovaginal septum. Despite theories about the possible impact of dioxins on the rectovaginal septum, the rectovaginal septum is an uncommon site for the development of this type of cancer. In the review by Irvin et al. in 1998, they identified 222 cases of extraovarian endometriosis malignancy, of which only 9 cases (4%) corresponded to nodules of the rectovaginal septum (Irvin et al., 1998).

The symptoms that accompany a malignant endometriotic tumour are variable, but the most frequently reported symptom is abdominal or pelvic pain (up to 51% of patients) (Benoit et al., 2006). In the case that we present, the patient went to the emergency department of our hospital due to abdominal pain accompanied by nausea and vomiting.

Among the histological types of malignant tumours associated with extraovarian endometriosis, 90% correspond to endometrioid tumours and only 5% to clear cell tumours (Brunson et al., 1988), while in cases of malignant ovarian endometrioma the clear cell tumour is more prevalent (Scully et al., 1998).

It has been suggested that a long history of endometriosis may constitute a predominant risk factor in the malignancy of the disease (Nezhat et al., 2014). In a recent series of 10 cases of patients with extra-ovarian malignant endometriosis, 6 of the 10 patients (60%) had a long history of endometriosis and were also nulliparous (Poon and Rome, 2020). Our patient met both requirements: she had a history of at least 20 years of evolution of endometriosis and was nulliparous.

Malignant tumours associated with extra-ovarian endometriosis are usually limited to the pelvis. A recent study that analysed a Japanese database of endometriosis-associated cancers found 11 cases of carcinoma in the RVS among 1,397 rare or atypical locations of the disease, and none had an extension outside the pelvis (Mandai et al., 2020). In our case, the patient had two pelvic lymph nodes metastasized due to the neoplasia, but she did not present disease in the upper abdomen.

**Conclusion**

Despite its low incidence, the malignancy of RVS nodule of extra-ovarian endometriosis is possible and should be considered when a cystic or solid-cystic lesion appears in the pelvis in a woman with a long history of endometriosis.
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